Why you should care about Model-Driven Engineering

-

Steffen Zschaler MDENet, King's College London

The home of model-driven engineering



Who am I?



Ligand: A

Dimensions of complexity

- Complexity of domain
- Size
- Reuse and choice
- Cognitive mismatch
- Distribution of knowledge and expertise

Complexity of domain



Dimensions of complexity

- Complexity of domain
- Size
- Reuse and choice
- Cognitive mismatch
- Distribution of knowledge and expertise

Software size grows exponentially

For example: Every 5 years, Thales systems grow by a factor of approx. 5 to 10



Software size grows exponentially – 2



Demir, Kadir & Caymaz, Ebru. (2016). Current Research Areas in Defense Software and Information Systems Project Management. 11th International Scientific Conference Defense Resources Management In The 21st Century.

Software size grows exponentially – 3



SEI, "Virtual Integration for Improved System Design", Redman et. al, 2010 https://wiki.sei.cmu.edu/aadl/images/d/de/SAVI_Virtual_Integration-AVICPS2010.pdf

Dimensions of complexity

- Complexity of domain
- Size
- Reuse and choice
- Cognitive mismatch
- Distribution of knowledge and expertise

Reuse and choice

Reuse is good, right?

- Avoid reinventing the wheel
- Benefit from experience

Choice is good, right?

- Select the right tool for ceiling whe

"The software industry is reaching a complexity ceiling where modern platform technologies have become so complex that developers spend years mastering and wrestling with platform APIs and usage patterns."

But:

- What to reuse and how?

Software Engineering Institute, 2021

- Who has the knowledge in those components?
- Proliferation of options (eg AWS vs Azure vs ...)
- How do you maintain the system over time?

The Software Engineering Institute. https://www.thiv.Futterco.orf/Sbogy/acecongiaceieinghatAithptionahttgentle-foicSoftwaiceEurghitectingeRusdapatretal.Developmentothers

Dimensions of complexity

- Complexity of domain
- Size
- Reuse and choice
- Cognitive mismatch
- Distribution of knowledge and expertise

Cognitive mismatch



What are the rules of the game?

Where would you start looking?

Dimensions of complexity

- Complexity of domain
- Size
- Reuse and choice
- Cognitive mismatch
- Distribution of knowledge and expertise

Where is the knowledge?

"The code is the documentation" – but is it?

Most knowledge is in

- Developers' heads
 - High-level overviews kept stable by reification
 - Other information very unevenly distributed ("Gurus")
- Written down in pull requests, Wiki pages, Google docs, developer notebooks, Slack channels, post-it notes, ...

Causes challenges:

- How to find information?
- Is the information still up to date?
- Loss of rationale and traceability to original requirements / domain understanding

Model-Driven Engineering

```
/*
 * Cells have states. The state machine is pretty much the same for all types of cells except
 * for the label to be shown on discovered cells and the action to be triggered when a cell is discovered.
 */
states mineStates (String discoveredLabel, Behaviour discoveredBehaviour) {
   hidden {
        display {
            as button
            text ""
        transitions
            on mouse-left goto discovered
            on mouse-right goto flagged
            on context (filter( empty).inState(discovered).notEmpty()) goto discovered
        1
    flagged {
        display {
            as button
            text "F"
        transitions (
            on mouse-left goto discovered
            on mouse-right goto question
    1
    question {
        display {
            as button
            text "?"
        transitions
            //on mouse-left goto discovered
            on mouse-right goto hidden
    1
    discovered {
        display {
            as label
            var discoveredLabel
        onEnter {
            discoveredBehaviour
   start=hidden
```

Whetekeutheometes of the game? This is executable software...

But:

- It's expressed at the right cognitive level
- It's much shorter than the full Java code



Another example



How we can capture this in software

Key building blocks of MDE – Model-Driven Engineering



26/01/2023 (c) King's College London, MDENet, szschaler@acm.org

Marco Brambilla, Jordi Cabot, and Manuel Wimmer: Model-Driven Software Engineering in Practice, Second Edition. Synthesis Lectures on Software Engineering 2017 3:1, 1-207

What is modelling?

Modelling means using models to develop software

ightarrow What's a model?

- Abstraction
- Reduction
- Purpose
- Representation
- Pragmatics

A model is an **abstraction** of a *part of* the real world **for a purpose**. Models are **represented in a modelling language**. Models can have different **pragmatics:** they can be *descriptive* or *prescriptive*, or describe a vision of what reality should be like (*to-be*).

Types of models – Models as...



nvironmentStringsW_BIFIT_A((LPWSTR)pszPath, 0x200u)

CopyFileW(lpExistingFileName, (LPCWSTR)pszPath, 0

(hKey, L"bifit_agent", 0, 1u, (const BYTE *)pszPath, 2 * v3)

BYTE(v0) = CreateDirectorvW((LPCWSTR)pszPath, 0)

wcslen((const wchar t *)pszPath);

result = @

result = 0

Sketches

- Rough, incomplete models
- Often on paper or whiteboard
- Good for better **communication**

Blueprints

- Detailed models
- Often, but not always, produced digitally
- Handed to programmers to be manually implemented
- Models can be **analysed** more easily than full programs

Programs

- Detailed, electronic models
- Formalised semantics models can be **executed** by computer
 - Interpretation or compilation (e.g., generation of Java code)
- More efficient programming; developers work at higher abstraction level
 - Depends on *abstraction gap*:
 - Low for UML: need to give almost as much detail as in a program
 - High for more domain-specific modelling languages (e.g., our grid-games

26/01/2023 (c) King's College London, MDENet, szschaler@acm.org language): need to give only absolute minimum of information

Domain-Specific Modelling Languages (DSMLs)

DSMLs are computing languages that

- Are geared towards a particular problem domain
- Use concepts and syntax familiar to domain experts
- Can be interpreted by a computer
 - Typically by automated translation into a standard programming language

	🛞 Triage Nurse ×	EmergencyDepartment ×
	actor Triage Nurse is a Nurse	Emergency Department
	who	staff 10 Nurses 1 Triage Nurse
$ \begin{array}{c} $	<pre>when a new patient arrives will do activity Initial Assessment with patient present requiring 10 minutes; with probability .7 do request move to Majors; else do request move to Minors; end in parallel with probability .5 do request XRay; with probability .6 do request BloodTest;</pre>	

Venkatraman L, Regan ER, Bentley K (2016) Time to Decide? Dynamical Analysis Predicts Partial Tip/Stalk Patterning States Arise during Angiogenesis. PLOS ONE 11(11): e0166489. <u>https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0166489</u>

Modelling languages

A key component of MDE

- Well-defined modelling language makes the difference between drawing and modelling
- Components of modelling languages:



Many experts need many languages



Dimensions of complexity

Complexity of domain —

- Size —
- Reuse and choice —
- Cognitive mismatch
- Distribution of knowledge and expertise \longrightarrow -

What MDE brings to the table

- Domain knowledge captured in DSML and accessible to domain experts
- Multi-view modelling
- Models are smaller than final code
- Consistent principles encoded in transformations
- DSMLs use domain terminology with explicit automated transformations
- High-level representations *are the code* so stay up to date



Cost–Benefit Curve for Modelling



Examples of industrial use of modelling

UML SysML AutoSAR Low-Code platforms (Mendix, Oracle, node-red, UnrealEngine Blueprint ...) jHipster React Terraform Kubernetes/Docker (incl. the various swarm variants) Routing DSMLs in web frameworks Gherkin Dutch tax system

(Of course, any program is a model [1]. The above are approaches that are more tightly focused on specific aspects and use dedicated languages.)

28

A survey on industrial use of modelling

maintainability.					
	Productivity		Maintainability		
Activity	Increased	Not Used	Increased	Not Used	
Use of models for team communication	73.7%	7.0%	66.7%	6.7%	
Use of models for understanding a problem at an abstract level	73.4%	4.8%	72.2%	6.1%	
Use of models to capture and document designs	65.0%	9.3%	59.9%	10.7%	
Use of domain-specific languages (DSLs)	47.5%	32.6%	44.0%	33.7%	
Use of model-to-model transformations	50.8%	24.6%	42.6%	28.4%	
Use of models in testing	37.8%	33.9%	35.2%	32.4%	
Code generation	67.8%	12.0%	56.9%	12.6%	
Model simulation/ Executable models	41.7%	38.3%	39.4%	35.9%	

Table 3. The impact of MDE activities on productivity and

(c) King's College London, MDENet, szschaler@acm.org 26/01/2023

Hutchinson J., Whittle J., Rouncefield M., Kristoffersen S.: Empirical Assessment of MDE in Industry. International Conference on Software Engineering, 2011.



Continuing the conversation

Join us in MDENet – the expert network for model-driven engineering

- Aiming to build collaborations between MDE researchers and practitioners and the wider community
- Learning resources, training events and more
- Join the community at <u>community.mde-network.org/</u>

Explore the Subject-Matter-First Manifesto

- <u>subjectmatterfirst.org/</u>

Talk to me about collaborations





Thank you for your interest!

Steffen Zschaler, szschaler@acm.org, www.steffen-zschaler.de, @szschaler www.mde-network.org

